UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,327	09/11/2003	Eiichi Ishii	2003-1290	3781
	7590 03/23/200 I, LIND & PONACK,		EXAMINER	
2033 K STREET N. W.			WILKINS III, HARRY D	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1742	
		** The Property of the Control of th		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		(•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/659,327	ISHII ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry D. Wilkins, III	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Destensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply built apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fie, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this commonED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 F This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	er. drawing(s) be held in abeyance. etion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
			102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic ority documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No. <u>09/902,260</u> . ived in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/659,327 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission(s) filed on 10 and 12 January 2007 has/have been entered.

Status

2. The art rejection based on Sato et al (US 5,466,305) has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment to claim 1 requiring that the claimed ratio H/C on a basis of weight, not moles. The gas disclosed by Sato et al is not within the claimed weight ratio of H/C. The prior art does not disclose or suggest using such a high ratio of hydrogen to carbon in the plasma carburizing gas.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed.

Art Unit: 1742

had possession of the claimed invention. The specification states, such as at pages 3, 5 and 8, that the molar ratio of H to C should agree with $1 \le H/C \le 9$. Thus, the specification as filed does not support the present claims requiring that a weight ratio of H to C should agree with $1 \le H/C \le 9$.

Newly Cited References

5. A number of new references are cited with this action. However, none of the references teach the combination of plasma carburizing of titanium within the claimed temperature range with the claimed composition of the plasma gas. Ionescu et al (RO 108000) teach plasma carburizing at 850-950°C. Sato et al (US 5,466,305) teach a gas containing only propane. Davenport et al (US 4,587,458) teach plasma carburizing at approximately 900°C. Arai et al (US 5,198,285) teaches plasma carburizing (example 5) of iron surfaces, not titanium surfaces. Bell et al (US 6,238,490 and WO 99/0405) teaches plasma carburizing of austenitic stainless steels, not titanium.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 2 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry D. Wilkins, III whose telephone number is 571-272-1251. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

Art Unit: 1742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V. King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry D Wilkins, III Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

hdw.